UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/074,496	05/07/1998	JAMES R. ALBRITTON	091078.0554	2329	
BAKER AND I	7590 11/09/200 BOTTS	EXAMINER			
2001 ROSS AV		FERGUSON, MICHAEL P			
DALLAS, TX 7	732012980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3679		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/074,496	ALBRITTON, JAME	S R.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICHAEL P. FERGUSON	3679		

	MICHAEL P. FERGUSON	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 November 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slipset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on tened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	•	ΓE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•					
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reig	ected claims				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	_		_			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>37 and 40</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hoforo or on the date of filing a No	stice of Appeal will not	· ha antarad			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
	/Michael P. Ferguson/					
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3679					

Continuation of 13. Other: 1.

Applicant's arguments filed November 2, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As to claims 37 and 40, Attorney argues that:

Wilcox does not disclose a breakaway guardrail post comprising a lower post member disposed beneath and spaced apart from the upper post member.

Examiner disagrees. As to claims 37 and 40, Wilcox discloses a breakaway guardrail post comprising a lower post member (12) disposed beneath and spaced apart from the upper post member (24,26) (pole (12) is set up to mark or indicate something; and thus constitutes a post, as is consistent with the definition provided by Applicant; Figure 1).